the same consumers' dollars by providing an array of mobile communications services to satisfy the needs of people "on the go." Convergence toward a single CMRS market will only

⁴⁸ Sixth Report on Competition at p. 11, noting that the evolution of digital technologies on SMR systems changed the nature of SMR services and allowed them to become "significant competitors in mobile telephony."

accelerate as technology evolves and service providers are forced to compete even more intensely for customers. Consequently, the relevant market for analysis of this transfer of control application is the CMRS market.

2. The Assignment of NeoWorlds's Licenses to Nextel Will Increase Competition in the CMRS Market.

The proposed transaction will provide Nextel needed fuel and flexibility for growth of its digital wireless services, 3G mobile innovations and accelerated competition in the CMRS market. Nextel has engaged in a number of transactions to acquire spectrum licenses over the past ten years. Despite the repetitive complaints of competitors, each and every one of these transactions has led to increased consumer benefits. Typically, Nextel has taken underutilized spectrum, invested significantly in technology and increased the number of subscribers supported on the spectrum by orders of magnitude. Here, Nextel again plans to do just that by integrating this spectrum into its digital mobile network. While putting this largely unused spectrum to valuable use, Nextel will increase its competitiveness in the CMRS industry by achieving economies of scope and scale on its nationwide network. This transfer will enhance consumers' competitive alternatives by furthering Nextel's ability to offer the menu of wireless services consumers are demanding in today's marketplace. Consumer harm would come from a decrease in service, but the evidence from Nextel's growing subscriber base, now numbering over eight million, shows that Nextel has no ability or incentive to decrease service offerings.

The Strategis Group has stated that, "[t]o continue to grow its customer base and offer consumer services as well [as business-focused services], Nextel will need to add capacity."⁴⁹ Integrating NeoWorld's licenses into Nextel's iDEN network will increase system capacity,

enabling competition-enhancing cost savings, enhancing system robustness, improving coverage, and accelerating introduction of 3G services. As Nextel increases its spectrum capacity and puts this 900 MHz spectrum to more efficient use in a nationwide network – a significant public interest benefit – there should be a downward pressure on consumer prices and increased development of service options industry-wide.

The Bureau has recognized that Nextel's deployment of efficient digital technologies provides a direct public interest benefit, and the addition of NeoWorld's spectrum will enhance Nextel's ability to continue this trend. As discussed above, Nextel's offering of integrated mobile voice/dispatch services has invigorated competition among CMRS providers and led to the offering of an array of innovative service and pricing options. The Bureau has recognized Nextel's pro-competitive pricing efforts to date, including "Nextel's early introduction of persecond billing, and its elimination of roaming and long distance charges." In discussing the pro-competitive effects of previous license assignments to Nextel, the Bureau further observed that Nextel likely can provide greater competitive benefits than other prospective buyers of these licenses because Nextel is one of a limited number of firms that can provide nationwide service over its own network.

⁴⁹ September 2000 Strategis Report at p. 6.

⁵⁰ Pittencrieff at para. 65; see also Chadmoore at para. 19, In re Applications of Pacific Wireless Technologies, Inc. and Nextel of California, Inc., WT Docket No. 01-192, DA 01-2685 ("Pacific") at para. 18 ("We agree. . .that the more efficient use of spectrum. . .constitute[s] a transaction-specific public interest benefit[].").

⁵¹ Geotek at para. 47.

⁵² *Id.* at para. 46.

Finally, in addition to these public interest benefits, it is important to note that the addition of NeoWorld's spectrum to Nextel's network still leaves Nextel short of the amount of spectrum held by its chief CMRS competitors, who typically have 25-30 or more MHz per market, and it leaves Nextel well short of the recently amended 55 MHz CMRS spectrum cap. The acquisition of NeoWorld's licenses will move Nextel closer to achieving some of the operational economies enjoyed by competitors such as Verizon Wireless, Sprint PCS, Cingular Wireless, VoiceStream and AT&T Wireless, but still places Nextel at an average of just over 20 MHz in any market. The Bureau's approval of the proposed transfer, therefore, will increase competition in the CMRS market to the benefit of all wireless consumers and advance the public interest.

3. Analysis of a "Separate" Trunked Dispatch Service Market Still

Leads to the Conclusion that the Proposed Transfer Will Cause No

Harm to Competition.

The Bureau has recognized in previous decisions that, regardless of the market definition adopted, strong existing and potential competition in the provision of both mobile voice and trunked dispatch services ensures that Nextel's acquisition of additional SMR licenses will not cause competitive harm to consumers.⁵⁴ NeoWorld currently provides traditional analog trunked dispatch services in only two markets, New York and Philadelphia, using only ten (10) channels in each area. It does not offer digital dispatch services and is not required by the Commission to

⁵³ Report and Order, WT Docket No. 01-14, FCC 01-328, released December 18, 2001 ("Spectrum Cap Order"). Importantly, the Spectrum Cap Order applies the cap to CMRS spectrum holdings, which includes cellular, PCS and SMR spectrum. Nowhere in the Order does the Commission carve out SMR spectrum transactions and holdings for different competitive analysis, as the Bureau has continuously done with previous Nextel acquisitions of SMR spectrum.

⁵⁴ Geotek at para. 27; Motorola at para. 12; see also Arch at para. 11.

offer either digital or analog dispatch services on its licenses. As a result, if the Bureau decides to bifurcate the marketplace into dispatch and interconnected mobile service, there is no guarantee that, absent the merger, any additional NeoWorld channels would be used to provide dispatch service. Thus, the Bureau must consider how much, if any, of the remaining NeoWorld channels should be counted in the separate dispatch market.

Obviously, there is the potential for other NeoWorld channels to be used for dispatch service, and prior to the downturn in the economy, NeoWorld had planned to offer iDEN services on these channels (i.e., an integrated mobile voice and dispatch service similar to Nextel's services). However, the potential for these 900 MHz channels to be used for dispatch is similar to the potential for cellular or PCS channels to be used to provide dispatch services. Thus, if the Bureau concludes that some of NeoWorld's channels should be counted in the dispatch market, consistency would require that the Bureau also include at least some cellular and PCS spectrum in the dispatch market since it too is a potential source of dispatch service.

Not only can true push-to-talk dispatch services potentially be provided on cellular and PCS spectrum, but that spectrum already is providing a competitive alternative to dispatch services as consumers seeking dispatch alternatives are fulfilling their needs with certain interconnected mobile telephone service plans. The Commission has concluded that cellular and PCS providers are offering interconnected mobile service packages that are designed to compete directly with the dispatch functionality of SMR licensees. Since the vast majority of Nextel's Direct Connect calls are only between two units, the mobile-to-mobile calling plans offered by

⁵⁵ Sixth Report on Competition at p. 11.

⁵⁶ Report of Gregory L. Rosston, attached hereto at Exhibit 4, at p. 13, citing September 2000 Strategis

cellular and PCS providers serve the needs of almost all dispatch users. Nextel's own customer churn experience, ⁵⁷ and AT&T Wireless' web page promotion stating that "there's no longer a need to connect your workgroup with two-way radios or pagers," ⁵⁸ provide two additional pieces of evidence that traditional cellular and PCS licensees are real current competition for dispatch services. Thus, the services provided by cellular and PCS operators today compete with dispatch services and, therefore, should be included in the competitive analysis of a separate dispatch market.

In *Motorola*, the Bureau declined to include any cellular and PCS spectrum in its separate dispatch market analysis because it did "not have information in the record as to the nature of customer dispatch usage to make a determination regarding the extent to which cellular and PCS group calling plans should be included in a trunked dispatch market." However, because cellular and PCS providers are offering services that are substitutable for trunked dispatch calling, the Bureau must consider these providers as competitors in the separate trunked dispatch market. 60

Report at p. 50.

⁵⁷ See Id. at p. 12, noting that nearly half of Nextel's departing customers who are moving to a cellular or PCS plan, state that they are moving to a calling plan that does not charge airtime for mobile-to-mobile calling.

⁵⁸ See Exhibit 3 attached hereto.

⁵⁹ Motorola at para. 22.

⁶⁰ The Bureau can accomplish this in either of two ways. One, it could acknowledge that these interconnected services are converging with dispatch services and analyze competition in a single marketplace; or, two, it could conduct separate analyses of the trunked dispatch market and interconnected mobile voice market by including a portion of cellular and PCS spectrum in each market.

Nonetheless, if the Bureau believes its analysis should consider two separate markets – dispatch and interconnect – it would have to either ignore the vast majority of NeoWorld's spectrum holdings (in the same way it ignores other CMRS spectrum that currently is not used to provide dispatch service) or bifurcate its holdings (just as it did with Nextel's spectrum holdings in *Motorola*), "counting" some of the spectrum as "dispatch" and some as "interconnected mobile." Thus, if the Bureau chooses to consider this narrow market definition and exclude other potential sources of dispatch services (e.g., cellular and PCS spectrum) while including NeoWorld's spectrum, this public interest statement demonstrates that the state of competition in that separate dispatch market will not be adversely impacted by the proposed transaction.

Excluding spectrum used by Nextel (and possibly NeoWorld) to provide interconnected services, ⁶¹ while properly including all spectrum available for dispatch services, results in a finding that Nextel's acquisition of NeoWorld's spectrum has no adverse competitive impact on the trunked dispatch market. The Commission has recognized that "traditional dispatch service continues to be provided," but those "services are being provided increasingly on non-SMR spectrum bands." Thus, competition in the trunked dispatch market will not be adversely impacted because, as the Bureau concluded in *Motorola*, there are numerous providers of trunked

⁶¹ See Motorola at para. 28. Because only a very limited amount of NeoWorld's spectrum currently is in use, the Bureau's analysis could speculate that, absent this transaction, NeoWorld's 900 MHz spectrum would be put to similar use as Nextel's current 800 MHz iDEN offerings, i.e. a menu of communications services including interconnected mobile telephone and dispatch services. Using that assumption, the Bureau could exclude the same proportion of spectrum from NeoWorld's dispatch holdings as it has excluded from Nextel's holdings.

⁶² Sixth Report on Competition at p. 4.

⁶³ *Id.* at p. 11.

dispatch services competing for those consumers in search of push-to-talk dispatch service. Whether these services are being provided at 800 MHz, 900 MHz, 220 MHz, 450 MHz or 217-219 MHz -- those services that "at the very least" make up the trunked dispatch market as defined by the Bureau in *Motorola* and *Arch* -- users seeking such services will continue to have competitive alternatives. Within the separate trunked dispatch service market, Nextel is but one of several providers of dispatch services, and as the Commission has found, would have no ability to increases prices even in this narrowly defined relevant market. The NeoWorld spectrum would only increase Nextel's holdings by a small amount and the change in the concentration of the dispatch market defined by the Bureau would be so small as to cause no competitive concern.

Additionally, in *Motorola*, the Bureau found that there continues to be a likelihood of competitive entry into dispatch services by licensees in the 800 B/ILT spectrum band, ⁶⁸ as well as by providers of "traditional dispatch services," licensees that "own[] and operat[e] an in-house radio system or private dispatch system," and licensees that provide "wireless data

⁶⁴ Motorola at para. 12.

⁶⁵ Motorola at para. 12; Arch at para. 14.

⁶⁶ See Exhibit 1 attached hereto.

⁶⁷ See, e.g., Geotek at para. 38.

⁶⁸ Motorola at para. 31. There also is potential dispatch entry in the 900 MHz Business and Industrial Land Transportation spectrum as the Commission currently is considering permitting commercial operations on those channels. See Report and Order and Further Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking, WT Docket No. 99-87, FCC 00-403, at para. 144.

communications..."⁶⁹ These licensees' spectrum holdings also must be factored into the Bureau's competitive analysis of a separate dispatch market.

The Commission's recently licensed 700 MHz Guard Band licenses also provide new opportunities for the provision of trunked dispatch service. Although Nextel was the high bidder on a number of the 700 MHz Guard Band licenses, Nextel's role is that of the "Band Manager," leaving the introduction and deployment of services to a number of Guard Band spectrum lessees. Moreover, Nextel has agreed to "give up" this 700 MHz spectrum as part of its Public Safety White Paper proposal, should the Commission realign the 800 MHz Land Mobile Radio Band as proposed therein. This 700 MHz spectrum would then be used by other licensees to provide traditional analog dispatch services, *i.e.*, non-cellular services. Thus, whether Nextel is the Band Manager or contributes this spectrum as part of the 800 MHz Land Mobile Radio spectrum realignment, the auction of 700 MHz Guard Band licenses added yet another mechanism for interested parties to provide trunked dispatch services.

⁶⁹ Id. at para. 32. The Strategis Group found in its January 2000 report on dispatch service developments that, "[f]or dispatch applications, wireless data is increasingly becoming a viable alternative to voice communications." January 2000 Strategis Report at p. 85; see also September 2000 Strategis Report at p. 8. The report explained that "mobile data service providers are offering increasingly sophisticated fleet management, automated scheduling, and dispatch communications capabilities" and, as a result, "[s]ome radio users have reportedly selected commercial wireless data services for their dispatch communications needs...." Id.

⁷⁰ Service Rules for the 746-764 and 776-794 MHz Bands, and Revisions to Part 27 of the Commission's Rules, Second Report and Order, 15 FCC RCD 5299 (2000) ("Guard Band Order").

⁷¹ The Guard Band Order requires that Nextel, as a Guard Band licensee, lease at least 50.1% of its licensed spectrum to non-affiliates. Guard Band Order at para. 59. We note that another significant 700 MHz Guard Band license winner was Access Systems – an affiliate of ITA, the industry association and frequency coordinator for private dispatch systems used by businesses – which is likely to promote this spectrum for dispatch use.

Given all of these options for providing dispatch services, there are numerous opportunities to compete in the provision of dispatch offerings. As the Bureau recognized in *Geotek*, this intense competition for the provision of dispatch services presents Nextel with one fundamental reality: if Nextel or any other provider seeks to impose supra-competitive pricing or terms on consumers, "other firms will enter that niche to compete away excess profits." In fact, the provision of service in the dispatch segment of the CMRS market is very robust – due not only to competitors in the 220 MHz, 217-219 MHz, 450 MHz, and 800 and 900 MHz bands, but also from CMRS providers offering calling plans and other services that compete directly for the dispatch user.

Although there have been few new entrants offering solely dispatch services, this is because of "[d]eclining real prices suggest[ing] that dispatch market capacity has been outpacing demand" and the recognition that, as the Bureau stated in *Geotek*, "[there is] no reason to believe that these trends are poised for significant change." As further evidence that dispatch supply far outpaces demand, the Bureau found that "spectrum assets are exiting traditional dispatch in search of higher returns."

Geotek at para. 38. In fact, the intense competition among wireless carriers at the close of 2000 evidences the inability of Nextel or any other provider to impose supra-competitive pricing and terms. See Fifth Report on Competition at p. 4. See also Motorola at para. 32 ("Further, we believe that the ability of at least some consumers to use, in the alternative, traditional dispatch, private dispatch, and data dispatch provides additional constraint against the ability of Nextel to wield market power.")

⁷³ Geotek at para. 41 ("while dispatch demand has grown, dispatch supply appears to have been growing even more rapidly.").

⁷⁴ *Id.* at para. 43.

The market evidence illustrates the competitiveness of the CMRS market overall and the dispatch segment in particular as spectrum is used to provide the highest value to consumers. In rural areas in particular, the Bureau has recognized that there is significantly less demand for dispatch services than in urban areas. In many cases, therefore, dispatch service providers use their capacity not only for dispatch services but also for interconnected mobile telephone services. All CMRS licensees, regardless of their spectrum classification, typically have excess capacity in these less-populated areas, and all have the ability and opportunity to provide dispatch services. Consequently, there is – just as the Bureau found in *Motorola* -- significant opportunity for additional market entry.

4. Analysis of a "Separate" Interconnected Mobile Voice Service Market Also
Leads to the Conclusion that the Proposed Transfer Will Increase
Competition.

There can be no dispute that the proposed transfer of NeoWorld's 900 MHz licenses to Nextel will increase competition in the provision of mobile voice services – a telecommunications market that already is competitive. There are a number of providers of interconnected mobile voice service in the relevant markets with as much – and often more – spectrum than the combined holdings of Nextel and NeoWorld in these markets. Moreover, the combined spectrum holdings of Nextel and NeoWorld remain well below the Commission's newly revised 55 MHz CMRS spectrum cap. As a result of this transaction, the Bureau will be facilitating the enhancement of interconnected mobile competition by allowing Nextel to realize

⁷⁵ Pittencrieff at para. 73.

⁷⁶ Pacific at para. 13, citing Sixth Report on Competition.

⁷⁷ See Exhibit 1.

the efficiencies that will result from combining its and NeoWorld's spectrum holdings to lower costs, increase output and increase overall competitiveness in the provision of interconnected mobile voice services.

Nextel has demonstrated that its presence in the CMRS marketplace has helped transform the pricing and billing of interconnected mobile telephone services, ⁷⁸ provided advanced dispatch services in the CMRS market, fostered the increasing competitiveness of the CMRS marketplace, and assisted in "bringing the benefits of mobility to an ever-increasing segment of the country." As the Commission has stated, "the operator most responsible for using digital technology to make SMR a mobile telephone competitor has been Nextel." Competition among Nextel and other CMRS licensees, according to the Commission, has fueled a consumer movement to digital technologies, ⁸¹ increased overall mobile telephone subscribership, ⁸² and initiated a continued downward trend in prices. ⁸³ This decrease in prices, moreover, appears to have resulted in overall increased usage by subscribers. ⁸⁴

Mobile voice service is, of course, already the poster-child for competition in the telecommunications industry, as subscriber numbers continue to increase and prices continue to

⁷⁸ See, e.g., September 2000 Strategis Report at p. 54 ("The Strategis Group believes that Nextel's subscriber growth rates have evoked a profound response from AT&T Wireless and other cellular operators.")

⁷⁹ Fourth Report on Competition at p. 5.

⁸⁰ Fifth Report on Competition at p. 30.

⁸¹ Id. at pp. 13-14.

⁸² *Id.* at p. 9.

⁸³ Id. at pp. 18-20.

⁸⁴ Id. at p. 23.

decline. The Commission has significantly increased opportunities for companies to provide commercial interconnected mobile services to the public, and, as a result, mobile telephone subscribership continues to grow at a rapid pace. The World Wide Web home page of the Cellular Telecommunications and Internet Association (CTIA) reports that total mobile telephone subscribership is over 128 million as of January 2002. Be By auctioning the A, B, C, D, E and F blocks in the PCS service, the Commission created up to six new wireless competitors in mobile telephone service. Many PCS providers already have deployed systems in a number of markets throughout the country, including markets covered by NeoWorld's 900 MHz licenses. As of 2001, 91% of the U.S. population had at least three mobile telephone providers offering service within their geographic market; 75% had a choice of at least five providers; and 47% of the population could choose among six different providers. AT&T Wireless has announced that it will spend hundreds of millions of dollars to upgrade its systems to 2.5G and another \$1 billion to upgrade to 3G. This investment in network infrastructure and capacity is driving Nextel to be able to provide competitive services, but to do so requires spectrum and capital.

It is this competitive reality that is driving Nextel's proposed acquisition of NeoWorld's 900 MHz licenses, both as a means to enhance the competitiveness of its services and to respond to the initiatives of competitors. Wireless mobile communications consumers in the relevant markets have numerous options for fulfilling their need to communicate on the move.⁸⁸ Thus,

⁸⁵ Sixth Report on Competition at p. 6.

⁸⁶ See www.wow-com.com

⁸⁷ Sixth Report on Competition at p. 6.

⁸⁸ See, e.g., Exhibit 1.

with the availability of AT&T Wireless, Sprint PCS, Cingular, Verizon and others, consumers in the relevant geographic markets will not experience a diminution in the scope of available wireless services. The Strategis Group projects that 20% of all cellular/PCS subscribers by 2004 will be using a mobile-to-mobile calling plan – plans aimed at competing directly with Nextel's Direct Connect service. ⁸⁹ Consequently, as the Bureau found in *Geotek*, assigning additional spectrum to Nextel "should promote public interest benefits by introducing new capacity, which in turn will allow possible price decreases, output increases, or a combination of these effects." Acquisition of this spectrum will facilitate Nextel's ability to respond aggressively to the evolving and increasingly innovative marketing and pricing plans resulting from competition among CMRS providers.

As the Bureau also has recognized, the assignment of additional spectrum to Nextel will benefit the public by moving Nextel closer to realizing the same cost and operational economies enjoyed by its chief CMRS competitors currently operating on up to 55 MHz of spectrum (and potentially more as a result of recent changes in the spectrum cap). These economies, moreover, will be provided while Nextel's spectrum position remains far below the 55 MHz permitted by the CMRS spectrum cap. The increased spectrum capacity provided by NeoWorld's 900 MHz licenses will strengthen Nextel as a CMRS competitor in the provision of mobile voice services, thereby fueling competition in the CMRS market.

⁸⁹ September 2000 Strategis Report at p. 67.

⁹⁰ Geotek at para. 48.

IV. CONCLUSION

Transferring control of NeoWorld's SMR licenses to Nextel will result in significant public interest benefits by increasing competition in the CMRS marketplace, or alternatively, facilitating the elimination of CMRS-public safety interference and the availability of new, contiguous Public Safety spectrum. Under both scenarios, consumers are benefited -- either by enhanced Public Safety communications nationwide, thus enhancing consumers' public health and safety, or by the increased CMRS competition that will result from Nextel's enhanced ability to compete. The transfer of these licenses to Nextel raises no competitive concerns.

Accordingly, Nextel respectfully asks that the Commission approve the transfer of NeoWorld's 900 MHz licenses to Nextel as soon as possible to expedite the delivery of these public interest benefits to the American consumer.

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 1

Market Name	Entity
New York, NY	y
New York, NY	
New York, NY	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
New York, NY	ALEX EXPRESS CAR & LIMO INC
New York, NY	
New York, NY	CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
New York, NY	
New York, NY	
New York, NY	
New York, NY	CNF TRANSPORTATION INC
New York, NY	COMCAST CABLEVISION OF NEW JERSEY INC
New York, NY	COMPUTER CAR INC
New York, NY	CONCORD LIMOUSINE INC
New York, NY	
New York, NY	CRC MANAGEMENT INC
New York, NY	CSC TKR INC
New York, NY	
New York, NY	ELITE LIMOUSINE PLUS INC
New York, NY	FARRELLS LEASING CORP
New York, NY	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
New York, NY	FONE A CAR
New York, NY	GENERAL SEWER SERVICE
New York, NY	HUDSON GENERAL CORPORATION
New York, NY	INDEPENDENT COACH CORPORATION
New York, NY	JUPITER TRAVELERS INC
New York, NY	
New York, NY	K & A MUTUAL INC
New York, NY	KEYSPAN CORP DBA KEYSPAN ENERGY
New York, NY	LA SALLE BUS SERVICE INC
New York, NY	LAST RADIO GROUP CORPORATION
New York, NY	LONDON TOWNCARS INC
New York, NY	LOTUS RIDE INC
New York, NY	MAHER TERMINALS INC
New York, NY	MODERN HANDLING EQUIPMENT CO OF NJ INC
New York, NY	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
New York, NY	MS MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES INC DBA NEWPORT CENTRE 2810
New York, NY	NEW YORK CITY TRANSIT AUTHORITY
New York, NY	NORCOM COMMUNICATIONS CORP.
New York, NY	OROSZ, JOSEPH P
New York, NY	P & N TRUCKING CO INC
New York, NY	PARK TOWER REALTY
New York, NY	PK SMITH LIMOUSINE CO INC
New York, NY	PORT RICHMOND 16 CORPORATION

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 2

Market Name **Entity** RCMH CAR CO INC New York, NY **RSK WORLD INC** New York, NY SCHINDLER ELEVATOR CORP New York, NY New York, NY SKAGGS WALSH INC SKYLINE CREDIT RIDE INC New York, NY STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO New York, NY TEL A CAR OF NEW YORK INC New York, NY New York, NY TWR EXPRESS INC **UTOG 2 WAY RADIO INC** New York, NY VITAL TRANSPORTATION INC. New York, NY X Y Z INC New York, NY Chicago, IL AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC Chicago, IL AMOCO OIL COMPANY ASSOCIATED COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC. Chicago, IL Chicago, IL BADALI, AUGUST D Chicago, IL **BLUE CAB CO** BOULAIS, RICHARD DBA PRIVATE LINE COMMUNICATIONS CO Chicago, IL Chicago, IL CASPER CARTAGE INC Chicago, IL CASPERS CARTAGE INC Chicago, IL COLONIAL EXCAVATING CO Chicago, IL CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION **COOK DUPAGE TRANSPORTATION INC** Chicago, IL COOK ILLINOIS CORPORATION DBA CHICAGO SCHOOL TRANSIT Chicago, IL DOOR SYSTEMS INC Chicago, IL E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY INC Chicago, IL Chicago, IL **ESPLEASING CORP** Chicago, IL FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION Chicago, IL FLASH CAB CO GALLAGHER ASPHALT CORPORATION Chicago, IL Chicago, IL **K 5 CONSTRUCTION** Chicago, IL LAIDLAW TRANSIT INC DBA CROSSTOWN SERVICES INC Chicago, IL LTV STEEL COMPANY Chicago, IL MEDIAONE OF EASTERN MICHIGAN, INC. MEDIAONE OF NORTHERN ILLINOIS INC. Chicago, IL Chicago, IL MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY Chicago, IL NAPERVILLE CHAUFFEURING LTD Chicago, IL NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION Chicago, IL NORFOLK SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY **NORTH AMERICAN REFRACTORIES** Chicago, IL Chicago, IL NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY Chicago, IL NORTHWEST CAB CO Chicago, IL O HARE MIDWAY LIMOUSINE SERVICE INC **OZINGA BROTHERS INC** Chicago, IL Chicago, IL **OZINGA REDI MIX INCORPORATED** Chicago, IL PICKENS KANE MOVING AND STORAGE COMPANY Chicago, IL PLOTE INC

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 3

	rage 3
Market Name	Entity
Chicago, IL.	SEPTRAN INC
Chicago, IL	VANCOM LAIDLAW
Chicago, IL	VERACON CORP
Chicago, IL	WASTE MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS INC
Chicago, IL	WILLE BROTHERS COMPANY
Chicago, IL	WINKELS TRANSPORTATION CO
Philadelphia, PA	1ST CLASS AIRPORT TRANSPORTATION
Philadelphia, PA	ACADEMY CAB ASSOCIATION INC
Philadelphia, PA	ACADEMY EXPRESS INC
Philadelphia, PA	ADLER, PHILIP
Philadelphia, PA	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
Philadelphia, PA	APA TRANSPORT
Philadelphia, PA	ATLANTIC CITY ELECTRIC COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	BINDER MACHINERY CORPORATION
Philadelphia, PA	CAPITAL DISPATCH INC
Philadelphia, PA	COUPE JR, JOHN P
Philadelphia, PA	CROWLEY, TOM
Philadelphia, PA	DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
•	DU PONT MERCK PHARMACEUTICAL CO
Philadelphia, PA	DUPONT EXPERIMENTAL STATION
Philadelphia, PA	
Philadelphia, PA	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Philadelphia, PA	FENCE MAKERS INC
Philadelphia, PA	GARDEN STATE PARK OPERATING
Philadelphia, PA	HILLMANS BUS SERVICE INC
Philadelphia, PA	KIMBERTON FIRE COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	LEVENTHAL, CRAIG L
Philadelphia, PA	LIBERTY CAB COMPANY INC
Philadelphia, PA	LICOM COMMUNICATIONS
Philadelphia, PA	MC GOUGH BUS COMPANY INC
Philadelphia, PA	MID STATE MOBILE RADIO
Philadelphia, PA	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	NEW JERSEY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	NJ TRANSIT BUS OPERATIONS
Philadelphia, PA	NLBA INC.
Philadelphia, PA	OLDE CITY TAXI COACH ASSOCIATION INC
Philadelphia, PA	PECO ENERGY COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	PHILADELPHIA, CITY OF
Philadelphia, PA	PIZZA SYSTEMS INC
Philadelphia, PA	PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC AND GAS COMPANY
Philadelphia, PA	SALVATION ARMY
Philadelphia, PA	SCHOOL DISTRICT OF PHILADELPHIA
Philadelphia, PA	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSUR DBA STATE FARM MUTUAL INSURANCE CO
Philadelphia, PA	WALTON, RICHARD
Detroit, MI	CHRYSLER CORPORATION
Detroit, MI	CONSUMERS ENERGY COMPANY
Detroit, MI	DETROIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 4

Market Name Entit

Detroit, MI DETROIT TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION

Detroit, MI E D S SPECTRUM CORPORATION
Detroit, MI FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Detroit, MI FOUR KINGS TRANSPORTATION INC

Detroit, MI GENERAL MOTORS RESEARCH CORPORATION

Detroit, MI JFMD, LLC

Detroit, MI LEONARD, LYNETTE

Detroit, MI MICHIGAN CONSOLIDATED GAS COMPANY DBA MICHCON

Detroit, MI MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY

Detroit, MI NAGLE PAVING COMPANY
Detroit, MI NORTHWEST AIRLINES INC

Detroit, MI PLYMOUTH CANTON COMMUNITY SCHOOL DIST

Detroit, MI PONY EXPRESS COURIER CORP

Washington, DC A B C SECURITY CORP
Washington, DC AAA MID ATLANTIC INC
Washington, DC AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC

Washington, DC BALTIMORE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Washington, DC BARWOOD INC

Washington, DC BEVARD INDUSTRIES INC

Washington, DC BROWNING FERRIS INDUSTRIES

Washington, DC CABS INC

Washington, DC CENTRAL ARMATURE WORKS INC

Washington, DC CHANEY ENTERPRISES

Washington, DC CHRISTIAN BROADCASTING NETWORK INC Washington, DC CORPORATE EXPRESS DELIVERY SYSTEMS

Washington, DC COXCOM INC
Washington, DC E L GARDNER INC
Washington, DC EQUITY OFFICE
Washington, DC F O B INC

Washington, DC FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION Washington, DC FLIPPO CONSTRUCTION CO INC

Washington, DC FOB INC

Washington, DC FRANCIS O DAY CO INC
Washington, DC HOLIDAY INN CAPITOL
Washington, DC JOSEPH J MAGNOLIA INC

Washington, DC KENNETH CANTIN MASONRY CONTRACTORS INC

Washington, DC METRO TRUCK & TRACTOR LEASING INC

Washington, DC MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY

Washington, DC MONTGOMERY SHUTTLE

Washington, DC MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY

Washington, DC MTI CONSTRUCTION CO INC Washington, DC OPPORTUNITY CONCRETE INC

Washington, DC PRENTISS PROPERTIES

Washington, DC QUICK MESSENGER SERVICE INC.

Washington, DC REDLAND GENSTAR INC

Washington, DC REGENCY CAB INC

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 5

Market Name	Entity
-------------	--------

Washington, DC RELIABLE CONTRACTING INC

Washington, DC THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY Washington, DC VIRGINIA CONCRETE COMPANY INC WASHINGTON GAS LIGHT COMPANY

Washington, DC WILLIAM A HAZEL INC

Boston, MA AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC

Boston, MA BROWNING FERRIS INDUSTRIES INC

Boston, MA CHILDRENS HOSPITAL

Boston, MA CORCORAN COMMUNICATIONS INC

Boston, MA DAVID CAPONE GENERAL CONTRACTOR INC

Boston, MA FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION

Boston, MA FIRST STUDENT INC Boston, MA HOWARD, TOM

Boston, MA HUDSON GENERAL LLC

Boston, MA MARR, JERRY

Boston, MA MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL

Boston, MA MEDIAONE OF NEW YORK INC

Boston, MA MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Boston, MA NATIONAL GRID USA SERVICE COMANY INC

Boston, MA NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

Boston, MA P W PRESTON CO INC

Boston, MA R L STEVENS CONSTRUCTION INC

Boston, MA SEABROOK JOINT OWNERS

Boston, MA SOUTH TERMINAL CORPORATION
Boston, MA ST ELIZABETHS MEDICAL CENTER
Houston, TX AIR LIQUIDE AMERICA CORPORATION

Houston, TX ALLEN, DAVID M

Houston, TX AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY

Houston, TX ANHEUSER BUSCH CO INC

Houston, TX B F I WASTE SYSTEMS OF NORTH AMERICA INC Houston, TX CHEVRON PHILLIPS CHEMICAL COMPANY LP

Houston, TX COLUMBIA BRAZORIA INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Houston, TX CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION

Houston, TX DHL WORLDWIDE EXPRESS INC

Houston, TX ELECTROCOM, INC.

Houston, TX EXXON COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Houston, TX FAUST DISTRIBUTING COMPANY INC
Houston, TX FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION

Houston, TX HOBART CORPORATION

Houston, TX HOLES INC

Houston, TX HOT SHOT MESSENGER SERVICE INC

Houston, TX HOUSTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Houston, TX L E MYERS COMPANY

Houston, TX LYONDELL CHEMICAL WORLDWIDE INC
Houston, TX LYONDELL CITGO REFINING COMPANY LTD
Houston, TX MARTIN MARIETTA MATERIALS SOUTHWEST INC

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 6

Market Name	rage o
	Entity ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
Houston, TX	MEMORIAL CARE SYSTEMS
Houston, TX	METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY OF HOUSTON, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
Houston, TX	MOBILRADIO INC
Houston, TX	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Houston, TX	PITCOCK AND WILLIAMS
Houston, TX	RELIANT ENERGY INCORPORATED
Houston, TX	REPEATER COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
Houston, TX	SHELL COMMUNICATIONS INC
Houston, TX	SIMPSON PASADENA PAPER COMPANY
Houston, TX	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY
Houston, TX	SPRING INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
Houston, TX	TEXACO COMMUNICATIONS INC
Houston, TX	TEXAS CHILDRENS HOSPITAL
Atlanta, GA	AAA COOPER TRANSPORTATION
Atlanta, GA	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
Atlanta, GA	ALLEN, DAVID M
Atlanta, GA	ATLANTA GAS LIGHT COMPANY
Atlanta, GA	BOEING COMPANY
Atlanta, GA	DW COMMUNICATIONS INC
Atlanta, GA	ELECTROCOM, INC.
Atlanta, GA	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Atlanta, GA	FULTON, COUNTY OF
Atlanta, GA	GENERAL MOTORS RESEARCH CORP
Atlanta, GA	GEORGIA PACIFIC CORPORATION
Atlanta, GA	LXE INC
Atlanta, GA	MARIETTA PAWN BROKERS
Atlanta, GA	MEDIAONE ENTERPRISES INC
Atlanta, GA	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Atlanta, GA	NORFOLK SOUTHERN CORPORATION
Atlanta, GA	OGLETHORPE POWER CORP
Atlanta, GA	SHERWOOD MEDICAL COMPANY
Atlanta, GA	SNAPPING SHOALS EMC
Atlanta, GA	SOUTHERN COMPANY
Atlanta, GA	STONE MOUNTAIN PARK
Atlanta, GA	SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC
Atlanta, GA	WESTIN HOTEL ATLANTA AIRPORT
Dallas, TX	
Dallas, TX	1700 PACIFIC BUILDING
•	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
Dallas, TX	ALBRECHT SERVICES COMPANY
Dallas, TX	ARLINGTON INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
Dallas, TX	BAYLOR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM
Dallas, TX	BELLAR COMMUNICATIONS CO
Dallas, TX	BELLAR TWO WAY
Dallas, TX	BELLAR, R J
Dallas, TX	BRASHER, RONALD D
Dallas, TX	BRAZOS ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE INC

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 7

	Page
Market Name	Entity
Dallas, TX	CLEAR CALL COMMUNICATIONS
Dallas, TX	COMMUNICATIONS RELAY INC
Dallas, TX	CRD CONSTRUCTION INC
Dallas, TX	DLB ENTERPRISES INC DBA METROPLEX TWO WAY
Dallas, TX	DUNCAN, ROBERT C:DUNCAN, JANNETTE T DBA DUNCAN HOLDINGS LTD
Dallas, TX	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Dallas, TX	JRJ PAVING INC
Dallas, TX	LINCOLN PLAZA MANAGEMENT COMPANY INC
Dallas, TX	MEADOWS BUILDING INC
Dallas, TX	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Dallas, TX	NET WAVE COMMUNICATIONS INC
Dallas, TX	NETLINK RADIO COMMUNICATIONS INC
Dallas, TX	PRENTISS PROPERTIES LIMITED INC
Dallas, TX	RAFIE, MOSTAFA
Dallas, TX	RAYTHEON COMPANY
Dallas, TX	RAYTHEON SERVICE COMPANY
Dallas, TX	RAYTHEON TI SYSTEMS INC
Dallas, TX	SOUTHLAND CORP CITY PLACE
Dallas, TX	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO INSURANCE COMPANY
Dallas, TX	TCI OF PLANO INC
Dallas, TX	TCI OF RICHARDSON INC
Dallas, TX	TELECOMMUNICATION PROPERTIES INC
Dallas, TX	TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED
Dallas, TX	THE CRESCENT
Phoenix, AZ	AAA ARIZONA INC
Phoenix, AZ	AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATION DBA AIRBORNE EXPRESS
Phoenix, AZ	ALLEN, DAVID M
Phoenix, AZ	BOULAIS, RICHARD
Phoenix, AZ	CALMAT COMPANY
Phoenix, AZ	CANNON & WENDT ELECTRICAL CO
Phoenix, AZ	CHANDLER READY MIX
Phoenix, AZ	COASTAL PRODUCT SERVICE INC
Phoenix, AZ	COM RENTS INC
Phoenix, AZ Phoenix, AZ	COX COMMUNICATIONS INC
Phoenix, AZ Phoenix, AZ	EVANS SALVAGE INC
Phoenix, AZ	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION FISHER WIRELESS SERVICES INC
Phoenix, AZ	GORDON, RONALD E
Phoenix, AZ	HILLCREST ELECTRIC INC
Phoenix, AZ	
Phoenix, AZ	KELLEY, PATRICK J KENNETH AND EVA HELD TRUST
Phoenix, AZ	MESA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO 4
Phoenix, AZ Phoenix, AZ	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Phoenix, AZ	MVC CONSTRUCTION INC
Phoenix, AZ	PENSKE RACING
Phoenix, AZ	PHOENIX NEWSPAPERS INC
i ilocilia, M.	I FIOCIAIV IACAAOLVEEDO IIAO

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 8

	Page 8
Market Name	Entity
Phoenix, AZ	PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION
Phoenix, AZ	PIONEER CONCRETE OF ARIZONA INC
Phoenix, AZ	POWER, PATRICIA A
Phoenix, AZ	POWER, RANDY L
Phoenix, AZ	R & M REPEATER
Phoenix, AZ	SALGOT, CHRISTOPHER F
Phoenix, AZ	SHASTA INDUSTRIES INC
Phoenix, AZ	SHERIFFS POSSE OF SUN CITY INC
Phoenix, AZ	STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO
Phoenix, AZ	SUPERSHUTTLE INTERNATIONAL
Phoenix, AZ	TREATCH, JAMES
Phoenix, AZ	TRW VEHICLE SAFETY SYSTEMS
Tampa, FL	AIR EXCELLENCE INC
Tampa, FL	AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATION DBA AIRBORNE EXPRESS
Tampa, FL	APSCO APPLIANCE
Tampa, FL	ASHLEY, JOHN W
Tampa, FL	BREWER, L D
Tampa, FL	BROWNING FERRIS INDUSTRIES
Tampa, FL	BRYAN KEARNEY & C W KEARNEY JR DBA KEARNEY DEVELOPMENT COMPANY
Tampa, FL	BUSCH ENTERTAINMENT CORP DBA BUSCH GARDENS
Tampa, FL	CEMEX INC
Tampa, FL	CHAPMAN CONTRACTING CO
Tampa, FL	CHATTAWAY, PAUL S
Tampa, FL	CHECKER CAB CO OF TAMPA INC
Tampa, FL	CHEVRON USA INC
Tampa, FL	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Tampa, FL	LEES R & R WRECKER
Tampa, FL	LIMO INC
Tampa, FL	MILLER, RICHARD DBA ORANGE BLOSSOM GROVES
Tampa, FL	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Tampa, FL	NEIGHBORLY SENIOR SERVICES INC
Tampa, FL	PEPIN DISTRIBUTING CO INC
Tampa, FL	R E PURCELL CONSTRUCTION CO INC
Tampa, FL	RESORT SHUTTLE INC
Tampa, FL	TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
Tampa, FL	TAMPA TRIBUNE
Tampa, FL	TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT ADVANCE NEWHOUSE PARTNERSHIP
Tampa, FL	TOWER TECHNOLOGY CORP OF TAMPA BAY
Tampa, FL	VERIZON FLORIDA INC.
Tampa, FL	WASTE MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS INC
Seattle, WA	BAHNER, SPENCER L
Seattle, WA	BOEING COMPANY
Seattle, WA	CABLE TV PUGET SOUND INC
Seattle, WA	CADMAN INC
Seattle, WA	CADMAN INC

CADMAN PREMIX COMPANY INC

Seattle, WA

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1 Page 9

	Page 9
Market Name	Entity
Seattle, WA	CLOTFELTER, R:CARPENTER, G:THUNBERS, M:HAUNSCHILD, G:DAVIS WRIGHT BLDG PTN
Seattle, WA	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Seattle, WA	FOSS, PETER J DBA TAC COM
Seattle, WA	FRED HILL MATERIALS INC
Seattle, WA	FULTZ, ROBERT
Seattle, WA	GARY MERLINO CONSTRUCTION
Seattle, WA	HAZAMA USA CORPORATION
Seattle, WA	ICON MATERIALS, INC.
Seattle, WA	KIRO TV HOLDINGS INC
Seattle, WA	LYNTREN COMMUNICATIONS INC
Seattle, WA	MESHINSKY, JEFF
Seattle, WA	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Seattle, WA	PORT ORCHARD SAND AND GRAVEL
Seattle, WA	SALMON BAY SAND AND GRAVEL CO INC
Seattle, WA	SHUTTLE EXPRESS INC
Seattle, WA	STONEWAY CONCRETE
Seattle, WA	TCI CABLEVISION OF WASHINGTON INC
Seattle, WA	THE BOEING COMPANY
Seattle, WA	TOTAL NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS
Seattle, WA	USF REDDAWAY TRUCK LINE
Seattle, WA	WASTE MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS INC
Miami, FL	ACTION COMMUNITY CENTER
Miami, FL	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
Miami, FL	AMERICAN SIGHTSEEING TOURS INC
Miami, FL	B&L SERVICE INC
Miami, FL	CHEVRON USA INC
Miami, FL	CRD CONSTRUCTION INC
Miami, FL	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Miami, FL	HIALEAH LAKES TAXI CORP
Miami, FL	MAERSK CONTAINER SERVICES INC
Miami, FL	METRO LIMO INC
Miami, FL	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Miami, FL	PENSKE RACING
Miami, FL	PORTABLE COMMUNICATIONS INC
Miami, FL	RED TOP SEDAN INC
Miami, FL	STATE CONTRACTING & ENGINEERING CORP
Miami, FL	TCI TKR OF SOUTH DADE INC
Miami, FL	VAUGHAN, RAY J
Miami, FL	WACKENHUT CORPORATION
Miami, FL	WASTE MANAGEMENT HOLDINGS INC
Denver, CO	A 1 A SECURITY
Denver, CO	ACADEMY COMMUNICATIONS ENTERPRISES INC
Denver, CO	ACS INVESTMENTS, LLC
Danuar CO	AID CONFORT VORKING

AIR COMFORT YORK INC

AUTO PARTS WHOLESALE

ANDERSON, DAVID W

Denver, CO

Denver, CO

Denver, CO

Attachment 2 FCC Form 603 Exhibit 1

	Page 10
Market Name	Entity
Denver, CO	AWC PORT SERVICES INC
Denver, CO	BAKER, KATHLEEN
Denver, CO	BELL PLUMBING AND HEATING COMPANY
Denver, CO	BROOKFIELD DEVELOPEMENT INC
Denver, CO	CHAPIN, BOBBY J
Denver, CO	CHERRY CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT 5
Denver, CO	CNF TRANSPORTATION INC
Denver, CO	COCA COLA BOTTLING COMPANY OF DENVER
Denver, CO	COLORADO BARRICADE RENTAL
Denver, CO	COLORADO, STATE OF
Denver, CO	COORS BREWING COMPANY
Denver, CO	DENVER CITY & COUNTY OF
Denver, CO	DENVER PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Denver, CO	DOUGLAS COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT RE1
Denver, CO	EAST WEST RESORT TRANSPORTATION LLC DBA COLORADO MOUNTAIN EXPRESS
Denver, CO	EBERHART, CYNTHIA L
Denver, CO	FEDERAL EXPRESS CORPORATION
Denver, CO	FOREMAN, FRED
Denver, CO	GEMINI COMMUNICATIONS CORP
Denver, CO	GENERAL ELECTRIC RADIO SERVICES CORPORATION
Denver, CO Denver, CO	HAMILTON OIL BUILDING PARTNERSHIP JEFFERSON COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS
Denver, CO	LAIDLAW WASTE SYSTEM INC
Denver, CO	LAING ELECTRONICS, INC.
Denver, CO	MAC VIK PLUMBING & HEATING CO
Denver, CO	METRO TAXI INC
Denver, CO	MOBILE RELAY ASSOCIATES INC
Denver, CO	MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
Denver, CO	NIX, BYRON C
Denver, CO	PONY EXPRESS COURIER CORP
Denver, CO	PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF COLORADO
Denver, CO	QUICKSILVER EXPRESS COURIER
Denver, CO	RAMSTETTER, KARL
Denver, CO	READY MIXED CONCRETE COMPANY
Denver, CO	ROBINSON BRICK COMPANY INC
Denver, CO	ROCKY MOUNTAINS MOTORISTS INC DBA AAA COLORADO INC
Denver, CO	TARCO INC
Denver, CO	TRIPLE C COMMUNICATIONS INC.
Denver, CO	UNITED AIRLINES INC
Denver, CO	UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO HOSPITAL AUTHORITY
Denver, CO	WESTALL, MATTHEW S
Denver, CO	X W CORPORATION
San Francisco, CA	A & R EQUIPMENT CO
San Francisco, CA	AERONAUTICAL RADIO INC
·	AIRBORNE FREIGHT CORPORATION DBA AIRBORNE EXPRESS
San Francisco, CA	ALLEN ENTERPRISES INC DBA ALLENS ROTOROOTER